

REMARKS

[1-5] Claims 8-9 were rejected over Fujii '836, and claim 9 was rejected over Fujii in view of Shimada. These rejections are moot, as claim 8 is canceled and claim 9 now depends from claim 5, which is argued for below.

[6-7] Claims 5-7 were rejected over Ao '116 in view of Fujii '836 and MacDonald '332. This rejection is respectfully traversed.

Fujii discloses a sensor with a projecting portion 4, made by forming a cavity portion 123 as shown in Fig. 3J. Fujii does not disclose a projecting portion is attached on the mounting board, or that the sensor chip and the projecting portion are attached to the same level surface. The other applied references also fail to disclose the claimed feature.

Allowance is requested.

Respectfully submitted,



Nick Bromer - Reg. No. 33,478
RABIN & BERDO, P.C.
Telephone: (202) 371-8976
CUSTOMER NO. 23995

March 24, 2005

Date